

Structural elicitation for Bayesian Networks

Joint work with Anca Hanea (CEBRA, UniMelb) and Sophia Wright (Univ of Warwick)

Tina Nane (TU Delft)





Tina Nane (TU Delft)

Structural elicitation for BNs

26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo

< f

Citation Performance of Researchers

Influencing factors

- Publication record, years of activity
- Journal citation scores
- Field
- (International) collaboration, etc.



ina Nane (TU Delft)

26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo



Citation Performance of Researchers

Influencing factors

- Publication record, years of activity
- Journal citation scores
- Field
- (International) collaboration, etc.



TUDelft

		D 10.
l ina l	Vane i	Deltt
1 1110 1	a diffe	Dent

< 🗇



Ť UDelft			
		(中) (문) (문) (문) 문	৩৫৫
Tina Nane (TU Delft)	Structural elicitation for BNs	26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo	3 / 12



Ť UDelft			
		(中) (권) (혼) (혼) 문	୬୯୯
Tina Nane (TU Delft)	Structural elicitation for BNs	26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo	4 / 12

Number of possible structures

n	2	3	4	5	10
nr of DAGs	3	25	543	29281	$4.2 imes10^{18}$



Number of possible structures

n	2	3	4	5	10
nr of DAGs	3	25	543	29281	$4.2 imes10^{18}$

Learning the structure of a Bayesian Network

Experts

- Write all the variables of interest
- · Write all variables that could influence the variables of interest
- Write parents of these variables, etc.

Data driven

- Constraint based algorithms
- Score based algorithms

Experts + Data

TUDelft

Tina Nane (TU Delft)

- Need for a performance-based elicitation protocol
- How can we measure performance when eliciting the structure of a Bayesian Network?



- Need for a performance-based elicitation protocol
- How can we measure performance when eliciting the structure of a Bayesian Network?

Our approach

- Expert
 - Ask experts about the conditional distribution of the variable of interest



・ロト ・何ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Need for a performance-based elicitation protocol
- How can we measure performance when eliciting the structure of a Bayesian Network?

Our approach

- Expert
 - Ask experts about the conditional distribution of the variable of interest
- Data
 - 2 Assign arcs in particular order
 - 3 Compute the conditional distribution of the variable of interest
 - 4 Repeat 2 & 3



・ロト ・何ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Need for a performance-based elicitation protocol
- How can we measure performance when eliciting the structure of a Bayesian Network?

Our approach

- Expert
 - Ask experts about the conditional distribution of the variable of interest
- Data
 - Assign arcs in particular order
 - 3 Compute the conditional distribution of the variable of interest
 - 4 Repeat 2 & 3
- Compare the conditional distributions in 1 and 3
- Choose the conditional distribution from data closest to the conditional distribution from experts (with respect to a particular distance)

TUDelft

Citation performance of researchers





Citation performance of researchers



Ť UDelft			
		< □> < @> < ≧> < ≧>	\mathcal{O}
Tina Nane (TU Delft)	Structural elicitation for BNs	26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo	7 / 12

IDEA protocol



- Define problem
- Identify experts
- Find validation data
- Framing
- Training

Elicitation

- Individual
 - Investigation & set of individual estimates
- Feedback and facilitated
- 2nd set of individual Estimates

Post – Elicitation

- Aggregating experts' judgements
- Feedback
- Post-hoc analysis of results

TUDelft

Tina Nane (TU Delft

Structural elicitation for BNs

26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo

IDEA protocol



Two rounds of the Classical Model, intermediated by feedback and facilitated discussion

TUDelft

Tina Nane (TU Delft)

Structural elicitation for BNs

26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo

Round one

Id	Calibr.	Mean relative realization	Normaliz.weight without DM
Α	0.01397	1.183	0.0553
В	0.2895	0.5229	0.5067
С	0.06083	0.6187	0.126
D	0.06372	0.7125	0.152
E	0.2895	0.1651	0.16
DMperf	0.4735	0.1377	



Round one

ld	Calibr.	Mean relative realization	Normaliz.weight without DM
Α	0.01397	1.183	0.0553
В	0.2895	0.5229	0.5067
С	0.06083	0.6187	0.126
D	0.06372	0.7125	0.152
E	0.2895	0.1651	0.16
DMperf	0.4735	0.1377	

Round two

Id	Calibr.	Mean relative realization	Normalized weight without DM
Α	0.04706	0.7362	0.06141
В	0.4735	0.3703	0.3108
С	0.6827	0.3883	0.4699
D	0.06372	0.5211	0.05885
E	0.2895	0.1931	0.09908
DMpert	0.6827	0.1418	

fuDelft

Tina Nane (TU Delft)

26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo

Influencing factors

EXPERT A	EXPERT B	EXPERT C	EXPERT D	EXPERT E
1. Journal score	1. Journal score	1. pp_int_collab	1. Journal score	1. refs_paper
2. pp_int_collab	2. pp_int_collab	2. Journal score	2. pp_int_collab	2. Journal score
3. Output	3. pp_collab	3. pp_collab	3. pp_collab	3. pp_int_collab

14	
TU	Delft

ina Nane (TU Delft)

26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo



Influencing factors

EXPERT A	EXPERT B	EXPERT C	EXPERT D	EXPERT E
1. Journal score	1. Journal score	1. pp_int_collab	1. Journal score	1. refs_paper
2. pp_int_collab	2. pp_int_collab	2. Journal score	2. pp_int_collab	2. Journal score
3. Output	3. pp_collab	3. pp_collab	3. pp_collab	3. pp_int_collab

Conditioning

• Given that the Journal score is at its 95% quantile and the International collaboration score is at its 95% quantile and the Output is at its 95% quantile, what are your estimates for the average citation performance of a researcher?



Influencing factors

EXPERT A	EXPERT B	EXPERT C	EXPERT D	EXPERT E
1. Journal score	1. Journal score	1. pp_int_collab	1. Journal score	1. refs_paper
2. pp_int_collab	2. pp_int_collab	2. Journal score	2. pp_int_collab	2. Journal score
3. Output	3. pp_collab	3. pp_collab	3. pp_collab	3. pp_int_collab

Conditioning

 Given that the Journal score is at its 95% quantile and the International collaboration score is at its 95% quantile and the Output is at its 95% quantile, what are your estimates for the average citation performance of a researcher?

Results

Percenti	le	5%	50%	95%
Round	1.	15.88	28.19	51.91
	2.	8.531	30.61	48.81
Data		7.5	31.1	57.143

TUDelft

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ■ □
26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo

Discussion and conclusions

- Regardless the arcs assignment, the conditional distribution of the variable of interest might not change
- Given a particular structure of the BN, the experts can assess conditional distributions of the variable of interest quite accurately
- IDEA can help experts to become more calibrated, with a possible small decrease in information score
- IDEA can increase the performance of the DM



Thank you!



TUDelft

Tina Nane (TU Delft)

Structural elicitation for BNs

26 April 2017, Aalto University, Espoo

